# APPENDIX 2D STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF SPENT FUEL POOL STRUCTURES SUBJECT TO AIRCRAFT CRASHES

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The mean frequency for significant PWR or BWR spent fuel pool (SFP) damage resulting from a direct hit from an aircraft was estimated based on the point target model for a  $100 \times 50$ -foot pool to be  $4.1 \times 10^{-9}$  per year. The estimated frequency of loss of support systems leading to SFP uncovery is bounded by other initiators.

### ANALYSIS

A detailed structural evaluation of how structures will respond to an aircraft crash is beyond the scope of this effort. The building or facility characteristics were chosen to cover a range typical of an SFP that is contained in a PWR auxiliary building or a BWR secondary containment structure. In general, PWR SFPs are located on, or below grade, and BWR SFPs, while generally elevated about 100 feet above grade, are located inside a secondary containment structure. The vulnerability of support systems (power supplies, heat exchangers and makeup water supplies) requires a knowledge of the size and location of these systems at decommissioning plants, information not readily available. However, we believe this analysis is adequately broad to provide a reasonable approximation of decommissioning plant vulnerability to aircraft crashes.

The staff used the generic data provided in DOE-STD-3014-96 (Ref. 1) to assess the likelihood of an aircraft crash into or near a decommissioned SFP. Aircraft damage can affect the structural integrity of the SFP or the availability of nearby support systems, such as power supplies, heat exchangers, and makeup water sources, and may also affect recovery actions.

The frequency of an aircraft crashing into a site, F, was obtained from the four-factor formula in DOE-STD-3014-96, and is referred to as the effective aircraft target area model:

$$F = \sum_{i,j,k} N_{ijk} \cdot P_{ijk} \cdot f_{ijk}(x,y) \cdot A_{ij}$$
 Equation A2d-1

where:

 $N_{ijk}$  = estimated annual number of site-specific aircraft operations (no./yr) aircraft crash rate (per takeoff and landing for near-airport phases) and per flight for in-flight (nonairport) phase of operation aircraft crash location probability (per square mile) site-specific effective area for the facility of interest, including skid and fly-in effective areas (square miles) (index for flight phase): i=1,2, and 3 (takeoff, in-flight, landing) (index for aircraft category, or subcategory) (index for flight source): there could be multiple runways and nonairport operations

The site-specific area is shown in Figure A2d-1 and is further defined as:

and where:

A<sub>eff</sub> = total effective target area H= height of facility

$$A_{eff} = A_f + A_s$$

where:

Equation A2d-2

$$A_{f} = (WS + R) \cdot (H \cdot \cot \theta) + \frac{2 \cdot L \cdot W \cdot WS}{R} + L \cdot W$$

$$A_{s} = (WS + R) \cdot S$$

 $\begin{array}{lll} A_{_{\!\!f}} &= \text{effective fly-in area} & L= \text{length of facility} \\ A_{_{\!\!s}} &= \text{effective skid area} & W= \text{width of facility} \\ WS= \text{wing span} & S= \text{aircraft skid distance} \\ \cot \Delta = \text{mean of cotangent of aircraft} & R= \text{length of facility diagonal} \\ & \text{impact angle} \end{array}$ 

Alternatively, a point target area model was defined as the area (length times width) of the facility in question, which does not take into account the size of the aircraft.

Table A2d-1 summarizes the generic aircraft data and crash frequency values for five aircraft types (from Tables B-14 through B-18 of DOE-STD-3014-96). The data given in Table A2d-1 were used to determine the frequency of aircraft hits per year for various building sizes (length, width, and height) for the minimum, average, and maximum crash rates. The resulting frequencies are given in Table A2d-2. The product  $N_{ijk}^*P_{ijk}^*f_{ijk}(x,y)$  for Equation A2d-1 was taken from the crashes per mi²/yr and  $A_{ij}$  was obtained from Equation A2d-2 for aircraft characteristics. Two sets of data were generated: one included the wing and skid lengths, using the effective aircraft target area model, and the other considered only the area (length times width) of the site, using the point target area model.

The results from the DOE effective aircraft target area model, using the generic data in Table A2d-1, were compared to the results of two evaluations reported in Reference 2. The first evaluation of aircraft crash hits was summarized by C.T. Kimura et al. in Reference 3. The DWTF Building 696 was assessed in the Kimura report. It was a 1-story 254-feet-long 80-feet-wide, 39-feet-high structure. The results of Kimura's study are given in Table A2d-3.

Applying the DOE generic data to the DWTF resulted in a frequency range of  $6.5 \times 10^{-9}$  hits per year to  $6.6 \times 10^{-5}$  hits per year, with an average value of  $4.4 \times 10^{-6}$  per year, for the effective aircraft target area model. For the point target area model, the range was  $4.4 \times 10^{-10}$  to  $2.2 \times 10^{-6}$  per year, with an average value of  $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$  per year.

The second evaluation was presented in a paper by K. Jamali [Ref. 4] in which additional facility evaluations were summarized. For the Seabrook Nuclear Power Station, Jamali's application of the DOE effective aircraft target area model to the final safety analysis report (FSAR) data resulted in an impact frequency 2.4x10<sup>-5</sup> per year. The Millstone Unit 3 plant area was reported as 9.5x10<sup>-3</sup> square miles and the FSAR aircraft crash frequency as 1.6x10<sup>-6</sup> per year. Jamali

applied the DOE effective aircraft target area model to information in the Millstone Unit 3 FSAR. Jamali reported an impact frequency of 2.7x10<sup>-6</sup> per year, using the areas published in the FSAR and 2.3x10<sup>-5</sup> per year, and using the effective area calculated the effective aircraft target area model.

When the generic DOE data in Table A2d-1 were used (for a 514 x 514 x 100-foot site), the estimated impact frequency range was  $6.3x10^{-9}$  to  $2.9x10^{-5}$  per year, with an average of  $1.9x10^{-6}$  per year, for the point target area model. The effective aircraft target area model gave an estimated range of  $3.1x10^{-8}$  to  $2.4x10^{-4}$  per year, with an average of  $1.6x10^{-5}$  per year.

A site-specific evaluation for Three Mile Island Units 1 and 2 was documented in NUREG/CR-5042 [Ref. 5]. The NUREG estimated the aircraft crash frequency to be 2.3x10<sup>-4</sup> accidents per year, about the same value as would be predicted with the DOE data set for the maximum crash rate for a site area of 0.01 square miles.

NUREG/CR-5042 summarized a study of a power plant response to aviation accidents. The results are given in Table A2d-4. The probability of the penetration of an aircraft through reinforced concrete was taken from that study.

Based on comparing these plant-specific aircraft crash evaluations with the staff's generic evaluation, there were no significant differences between the results from the DOE model whether generic data were used to provide a range of aircraft crash hit frequencies or whether plant-specific evaluations were performed.

### 3. ESTIMATED FREQUENCIES OF SIGNIFICANT SFP DAMAGE

The frequency for significant PWR SFP damage resulting from a direct hit was estimated based on the point target model for a 100 x 50-foot pool with a conditional probability of 0.45 (large aircraft penetrating 5-ft of reinforced concrete) that the crash resulted in significant damage. This value (i.e., 0.45) is an interpolation from a table in NUREG/CR-0542 reproduced in Table A2d-4. If 1-of-2 aircraft are large and 1-of-2 crashes result in spent fuel uncovery, then the estimated range is  $1.3 \times 10^{-11}$  to  $6.0 \times 10^{-8}$  per year. The average frequency was estimated to be  $4.1 \times 10^{-9}$  per year.

The mean frequency for significant BWR SFP damage resulting from a direct hit was estimated to be the same as that for the PWR, 2.9x10<sup>-9</sup> per year.

### 4. SUPPORT SYSTEM UNAVAILABILITY

The frequency for loss of a support system (e.g., power supply, heat exchanger, or makeup water supply) was estimated based on the DOE model, including wing and skid area, for a 400 x 200 x 30-foot area with a conditional probability of 0.01 that one of these systems is hit. The estimated value range was  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $1.0 \times 10^{-10}$  per year. The average value was estimated to be  $7.0 \times 10^{-8}$  per year. This value does not credit onsite or offsite recovery actions.

As a check, we calculated the frequency for loss of a support system supply based on the DOE model, including wing and skid area, for a  $10 \times 10 \times 10$ -foot structure. The estimated frequency range was  $1.1 \times 10^{-9}$  to  $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$  per year with the wing and skid area modeled, with the average estimated to be  $7.3 \times 10^{-7}$  per year. Using the point model, the estimated value range was

 $2.4x10^{-12}$  to  $1.1x10^{-8}$  per year, with the average estimated to be  $7.4x10^{-10}$  per year. This value does not credit onsite or offsite recovery actions.

## 5. UNCERTAINTIES

Mark-I and Mark-II secondary containments do not appear to have any significant structures that would reduce the likelihood of penetration, although on one side there may be a reduced likelihood because of other structures. Mark-III secondary containments may reduce the likelihood of penetration, since the SFP may be considered to be protected by additional structures.

### 6. REFERENCES

- DOE-STD-3014-96, "Accident Analysis for Aircraft Crash Into Hazardous Facilities,"
   U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), October 1996
- 2. A. Mosleh and R.A. Bari (eds), "Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management," *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management*, PSAM 4, Volume 3, 13-18 September 1998, New York City.
- 3. C.T. Kimura et al., "Aircraft Crash Hit Analysis of the Decontamination and Waste Treatment Facility (DWTF), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.
- 4. K. Jamali, et al., "Application of Aircraft Crash Hazard Assessment Methods to Various Facilities in the Nuclear Industry."
- 5. NUREG/CR-5042, "Evaluation of External Hazards to Nuclear Power Plants in the United States," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, December 1987.

Table A2d-1 Generic Aircraft Data

Aircraft	Wingspan			Crashes p		<sup>2</sup> /yr	Notes
	(ft)	(ft)		Min	Ave	Max	
General aviation	50	1440	10.2	1x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2x10 <sup>-4</sup>	3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	
Air carrier	98	60	8.2	7x10 <sup>-8</sup>	4x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2x10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Air taxi	58	60	8.2	4x10 <sup>-7</sup>	1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	8x10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Large military	223	780	7.4	6x10 <sup>-8</sup>	2x10 <sup>-7</sup>	7x10 <sup>-7</sup>	takeoff
Small military	100	447	10.4	4x10 <sup>-8</sup>	4x10 <sup>-6</sup>	6x10 <sup>-8</sup>	landing

Table A2d-2 Aircraft Hits Per Year

Building (L x W x H) (ft)	Average effective area (mi²)	Minimum hits (per year)	Average hits (per year)	Maximum hits (per year)
With the DOE effective aircraft target area model				
100 x 50 x 30	6.9x10 <sup>-3</sup>	3.2x10 <sup>-9</sup>	2.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.1x10 <sup>-5</sup>
200 x 100 x 30	1.1x10 <sup>-2</sup>	5.3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	3.7x10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.5x10 <sup>-5</sup>
400 x 200 x 30	2.1x10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.0x10 <sup>-8</sup>	7.0x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.0x10 <sup>-4</sup>
200 x 100 x 100	1.8x10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.6x10 <sup>-9</sup>	5.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	7.6x10 <sup>-5</sup>
400 x 200 x 100	3.3x10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>-8</sup>	9.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.4x10 <sup>-4</sup>
80 x 40 x 30	6.1x10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.8x10 <sup>-9</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.7x10 <sup>-5</sup>
10 x 10 x 10	2.9x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.1x10 <sup>-9</sup>	7.3x10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.1x10⁻⁵
With the point target area model				
100 x 50 x 0	1.8x10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.2x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.7x10 <sup>-8</sup>	5.4x10 <sup>-7</sup>
200 x 100 x 0	7.2x10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.8x10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.5x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.2x10 <sup>-6</sup>
400 x 200 x 0	2.9x10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.9x10 <sup>-9</sup>	5.9x10 <sup>-7</sup>	8.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>
80 x 40 x 0	1.1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.1x10 <sup>-11</sup>	2.4x10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.5x10 <sup>-7</sup>
10 x 10	3.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.4x10 <sup>-12</sup>	7.4x10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.1x10 <sup>-8</sup>

Table A2d-3 DWTF Aircraft Crash Hit Frequency (per year)

Period	Air Carriers	Air Taxes	General Aviation	Military Aviation	Total <sup>(1)</sup>
1995	1.72x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.47x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.45x10⁻⁵	5.03x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.76x10 <sup>-5</sup>
1993-1995	1.60x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.64x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.82x10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.47x10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.16x10 <sup>-5</sup>
1991-1995	1.57x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.58x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.89x19 <sup>-5</sup>	7.23x10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.23x10 <sup>-5</sup>
1986-1995	1.52x10 <sup>-7</sup>	2.41x10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.89x10 <sup>-5</sup>	8.96x10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.23x10 <sup>-5</sup>

Note (1): Various periods were studied to assess variations in air field operations.

Table A2d-4 Probability of Penetration as a Function of Location and Concrete Thickness

			Probability of penetration  Thickness of reinforced concrete				
		Т					
Plant location	Aircraft type	1 foot	1.5 feet	2 feet	6 feet		
5 miles from airport	Small ≤ 12,000 lbs	0.003	0	0	0		
	Large > 12,000 lbs	0.96	0.52	0.28	0		
> 5 miles from airport	Small ≤ 12,000 lbs	0.28	0.06	0.01	0		
	Large > 12,000 lbs	1.0	1.0	0.83	0.32		

Figure A2d-1 Rectangular Facility Effective Target Area Elements

